

Proposal Evaluation Template

EVALUATION INSTRUCTIONS

Use a 1 -9 rating for each of the following areas (1 – 2 = outstanding; 3 = excellent; 4 -6 = average; 7 -9 = poor). Reviewers should not only consider the relative number of strengths and weaknesses noted, but also the importance of these strengths and weaknesses to the criteria or to the overall impact when determining a score. For example, a major strength may outweigh many minor and correctable weaknesses. The table below provides

IMPACT	SCORE	DESCRIPTOR	ADDITIONAL GUIDANCE ON STRENGTHS AND WEAKNESSES
	1	Exceptional	Exceptionally strong with essentially no weaknesses
High Impact	2	Outstanding	Extremely strong with negligible weaknesses
	3	Excellent	Very strong with only some minor weaknesses
Moderate Impact	4	Very Good	Strong but with numerous minor weakness
	5	Good	Strong but with at least one moderate weakness
	6	Satisfactory	Some strengths but also some moderate weaknesses
Low Impact	7	Fair	Some strengths but with at least one major weakness
	8	Marginal	A few strengths and a few major weaknesses
	9	Poor	Very few strengths and numerous major weaknesses

additional guidance to assist reviewers in dete

Minor Weakness: An easily addressable weakness that does not substantially lessen impact. **Moderate Weakness:** A weakness that lessens impact. **Major Weakness:** A weakness that severely limits impact.

Project Title			
Investigators			
<input type="checkbox"/> Pre-pilot	<input type="checkbox"/> Pilot	<input type="checkbox"/> Full	

1.

Scientific Approach	(score) Include significance, approach, innovation, etc.
Comments	

2.

Feasibility	(score)
Comments	

3.

Relevance	(score)
<i>To what extent might this project have an impact on cancer health disparities?</i>	

4.

Compatibility with the U54 Goals	(score)
<i>To what extent does the project address development of collaborative programs of research (qualifications and partnerships)?</i>	
<i>To what extent does the project focus on important questions in cancer or cancer prevention?</i>	
<i>Is the project likely to result in the recruitment and training of underrepresented students?</i>	

5.

Future R01 Funding	(score) Is the project likely to lead to independent funding?
Comments	

6.

Is the career development plan and mentorship of the junior investigator(s) (if applicable) appropriate for this proposal?
(score)

7.

Contingency Plan
(score)

Overall Score	
Summary Comments	

*Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center | New Mexico State University
Partnership for the Advancement
of Cancer Research
This collaboration is supported by NCI grants:
5U54 CA132381 and 5U54 CA132383*